

#### Observatoire astronomique

de Strasbourg | ObAS

#### Journées AtLAST, 14 mai 2025, Paris

Studying star-forming galaxies through clustering and polarization with AtLAST

Matthieu Béthermin ObAS

## Obscured star formation rate

- Massive young short-life stars produce a strong UV emission
- BUT, this emission is very efficiently absorbed by dust
- Dusty systems: most of UV photons are absorbed by dust and re-emitted in the far IR
- \* We need both UV and far-IR (dust obscured) to measure SFR:

 $SFR_{tot} = SFR_{IR} + SFR_{UV} = \kappa_{IR}L_{IR} + \kappa_{FUV}L_{FUV}$ 



spectral energy distribution (SED) of a galaxy (Noll+09)

Obscured star formation

Unobscured star formation

# The high-redshift dusty Universe

- Star formation rate density history: amount of stars per comoving volume as a function redshift (left panel).
- Up to z~5, obscured star formation (far-IR) larger than unobscured star formation (UV).
- Long wavelengths are thus key to probe the high-z star formation.



# Early massive galaxies are dusty...

- The mean dust-obscured fraction increases steeply with stellar mass.
- Even at high redshift, the most massive galaxies are VERY dust obscured!
- Sub-mm observations are thus essential to understand early star formation in massive systems.



Stellar mass

## ... and gas rich!



Dessauges-Zavadsky+20

- Observations up to z~6: increasing gas fraction with increasing redshift.
- Large gas reservoirs drives the high star formation rates.



#### Observing high-z cold gas and dusty star formation with previous and current facilities

#### Space far-infrared telescopes



Ground-based (sub-)mm single-dish telescopes



+ Peak of the dust emission up to z~5

Herschel

- + Excellent mapping speed in photometry
- Limited spectroscopy at high z
- Low angular resolution (confusion)

- + Dust emission in
  photometry (Rayleigh-Jeans)
  + Mapping speed in
  photometry
- Limited sensitivity in spectroscopy
- Low angular resolution





- + Excellent sensitivity in
  both continuum and
  spectroscopy
  + Tunable angular
  resolution (antenna
  configuration)
   Small field of view
- Miss large angular scales

#### Future large ground-based single-dish telescope: strength and weaknesses

#### \* Strengths:

mapping speed is excellent: for instance N2CLS@IRAM30m detects as many sources per hour as ALMA for a blank 2mm survey (Béthermin+ to be sub.).
can cover very large areas to probe large-scale structures and obtain statistic on rare massive systems.

#### \* Weaknesses:

potential resolution effects
especially for large beams (e.g.,
Béthermin+17, Bing+23)
maximal sensitivity is limited
by confusion





#### 850 microns

Simulation assuming a 25-m and no instrumental noise



From SIDES simulations (Bethermin+2017)

#### 850 microns

Simulation assuming a 30-m and no instrumental noise



From SIDES simulations (Bethermin+2017)

850 microns

Simulation assuming a 40-m and no instrumental noise



From SIDES simulations (Bethermin+2017)

#### 850 microns

Simulation assuming a 50-m and no instrumental noise



From SIDES simulations (Bethermin+2017)

### SFR limit versus telescope size (for a 850 µm survey)

- Negative K-correction: similar
   SFR limit for z=2-6.
- From 25m to 50m:
   confusion limit 5 times lower
   => diameter is essential to beat
   confusion
- \* >40m: able to detect the obscured SFR of the typical «M-star» high-z galaxies (a few tens of M<sub>☉</sub>/yr)

|                                   | 25 m | 30 m | 40 m | 50m |
|-----------------------------------|------|------|------|-----|
| 1σ confusion<br>μJy/beam          | 152  | 107  | 57   | 32  |
| 5σ SFR limit<br>at z=2<br>(M₀/yr) | 80   | 56   | 30   | 17  |
| 5σ SFR limit<br>at z=6            | 108  | 76   | 40   | 22  |

# Dusty galaxies and LSS

- Large baryonic mass necessary to form a massive galaxy.
- Since structures grow with time, massive halos are rare at high z.
- We expect massive dusty galaxies to be formed in the most overdense regions of the Universe.



## Galaxy correlation function



Distance

## Limitation of previous sub-mm surveys

- Confusion and resolution effects biases the clustering measurements from singledish instruments (e.g., Cowley+17)
- Too few objects from interferometric surveys to have enough statistics to perform a measurement.

Discrepancy between measurements of the correlation function with Herschel



# AtLAST perspectives

- \* Angular clustering from photometric surveys:
  - + lots of statistics and easy to probe a large volume
  - + selections can be reasonably simple (but potentially biased)
  - signal can be diluted if the redshift distribution is very broad
  - need to know very well the redshift distribution for the modeling
- 3D clustering through spectroscopic surveys through targeted surveys with a sub-mm MOS:
  - or 3D spectrograph
  - + direct measurement
  - + stronger signal for the same amount of sources (no dilution)
  - harder to build large samples
  - line misidentification can be a big problem for interpretation

### Spatial SFR distribution with line intensity mapping

- CIB (continuum of all galaxies) is limited by redshift degeneracies
- [CII]: dominant line in the far-IR and correlated with SFR (Schaerer+20)
- [CII] in a narrow frequency slice =>
   SFR distribution at a given z
- CONCERTO (2020-2023, PI: Lagache): APEX experiment to map wide submm field using low-resolution spectroscopy (R~300)





## Simulated intensity mapping cube slices



# [CII] power spectrum

- Power spectrum: distribution of the fluctuations at the various scales
- Large scale: amplitude linked to the LSS, rather similar to correlation function measurements
- Small scale: shot noise caused by source below the detection threshold
- Wide spread between models => important constraints for galaxy evolution models!



AtLAST extragalactic paper (Van Kampen+23)

### What contributes to sub-mm intensity mapping signal?

- The contribution of the various components (continuum, CO, [CI], [CII]) varies with frequency
- [CII] will be easier to detect at higher frequency
- Even at 300 GHz, CO is brighter than [CII]
- Masking technique can be used to remove the continuum and the low-z lines (Van Cuyck+23)



# Synergy between clustering and LIM

- Clustering of detected system and LIM are complementary, especially for 3D surveys.
- \* Bright sources can be studied in catalog space, while faint objects are studied through LIM. Allow to extract a global information on all populations.



# High-z polarized dust emission

- Recently, ALMA detected the polarized dust emission of two lensed dusty star-forming galaxies at high z.
- \* This demonstrates that this signal can be detected, but it opens complex questions on how to interpret it.



## Lessons from the local Universe

- Polarized observations of nearby galaxies (SOFIA / SALSA) showed ordered polarization at galactic scale.
- Integrated emission is polarized at the percent level.
- Several mechanism contributes:
   ordered magnetic fields in the disk
  - outflows
  - AGN



Dust polarization of M82



#### Towards high-z polarization surveys with next-gen instruments

- \* At high-z, single-dish telescope can only see the integrated polarization.
- Deep surveys are feasible with future far-IR telescope as PRIMA (Béthermin+24) with thousands of detections expected.
- What about the sub-mm with AtLAST? Easy to adapt SIDES for AtLAST polarization when instrumental forecasts are ready.



## Conclusion

- \* A large single-dish sub-mm telescope offers new possibilities by beating the confusion and mitigating resolution effects.
- Clustering studies (photometric and spectroscopic selections) will allow us to constrain the host halos of the bright objects.
- \* In complement, line intensity mapping will provide information on fainter population.
- High-z polarization could be explored, but feasibility has to be assessed.